arXiv:1403.5405v4 [math.CT] 8 Apr 2014

Sheaves and Conditional Sets

Asgar Jamneshan[a]

ABSTRACT

We study the connection of conditional sets, introduced in [3], to sheaves and topos theory. We relate a conditional set to a sheaf over a Boolean algebra. We show that conditional sets and functions does not form a topos due to the two-sidedness of the conditional inclusion.

KEYWORDS: Sheaves, Topoi, Conditional Set Theory

AUTHORS INFO

[a] *Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, jamnesha@math.hu-berlin.de*

PAPER INFO

The author thanks Samuel Drapeau, Martin Karliczek, Michael Kupper, Fares Maalouf, Stephan Müller and Martin Streckfuß for helpful comments and fruitful discussions.

AMS CLASSIFICATION: *18F10,18F20,18B25*

1 Introduction

Conditional set theory has recently been established in Drapeau et al. [3]. Based upon it conditional versions of some concepts and theorems in topology and real analysis has been studied. For instance, a conditional version of the Ultrafilter lemma, Tychonoff's theorem, the Borel-Lebesgue and the Banach-Dioudonné theorems are proven. Conditional sets developed from the convex analysis on L^0-modules initiated by Filipović et al. in [4]. L^0-theory has already found applications in finance and stochastic optimization, see e.g. [1, 2, 5].

Conceptually, there is a close connection of conditional sets to sheaves over complete Boolean algebras. Conditional sets can be associated to surjective sheaves which are sheaves the function part of the functor of which are comprised of surjective functions. A conditional set uses this information to encode a sheaf by a smaller family of functions. This link has already been established in [3]. Moreover, surjectivity allows to express properties of sheaves as properties of ordinary sets.

The difference of conditional set theory and the set theory realized in a topos of sheaves is due to the conditional inclusion which takes into account conditional sets on relative algebras. This also prevents conditional sets from forming a topos. We will show this by constructing a suitable quotient on the product of a complete Boolean algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the subcategory of surjective sheaves on the site $(\mathcal{A}, P)$ where P is a simple basis for the sup-topology. The resulting category has all finite limits and colimits, a natural numbers object, is generated by the subobjects of its terminal object and every set of subobjects has the structure of a complete Boolean algebra. However, it lacks a

well-defined subobject classifier.

2 Main result

Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \wedge, \vee, ^c, 0, 1)$ be a complete Boolean algebra. The order $a \leq b$ is defined by $a \wedge b = a$, and $(\mathcal{A}, \leq)$ is a complete complemented distributive lattice. The relative algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to $b \in \mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_b := \{a \in \mathcal{A} : a \leq b\}$.

Each Boolean algebra $\mathcal{A}$ can be regarded as a category. The objects are the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ and an arrow is a pair $(a, b) \in \mathcal{A}^2$ such that $a \leq b$. Let Δ denote the set of all arrows of $\mathcal{A}$. Recall that $\mathcal{A}$ is Cartesian closed [6, Chapter I, Section 8]. The initial object is 0 and the terminal one is 1. Moreover, the pullback of (a, c) and (b, c) is $a \wedge b$. We will switch our point of view of $\mathcal{A}$ as a Boolean algebra or as a category dependent on the context.

A sieve on $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is a family $\{(a_i, a)\} \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\{a_i\}$ is a downwards closed subset of $\mathcal{A}$. The sup-topology is the function J which assigns to each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the set of sieves

$$J(a) := \{\{(a_i, a)\} \subseteq \Delta : \vee a_i = a\}$$

Straightforward inspection shows that the following function P assigning to each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the set

$$P(a) := \{\{(a_i, a)\} \subseteq \Delta : a_i \wedge a_j = 0 \text{ whenever } i \neq j, \vee a_i = a\}$$

is a Grothendieck basis generating the sup-topology. Indeed, let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\{(a_i, a) : i \in I\} \in J(a)$. Suppose a well-ordering on the index set I. Then $b_i := a_i \setminus \vee_{j<i} b_j$ defines a family in $P(a)$ such that $\{(b_i, a)\} \subseteq \{(a_i, a)\}$[1]. Thus, every sheaf on the site $(\mathcal{A}, J)$ can be associated uniquely to a sheaf on $(\mathcal{A}, P)$ by [6, Chapter III, Section 4, Proposition 1].

We denote by $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$ the Grothendieck topos on the site $(\mathcal{A}, P)$. A sheaf in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$ is denoted by $X = ((X_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}, (\gamma_a^b)_{(a,b) \in \Delta})$. For every sheaf X, every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $\{(a_i, a)\} \in P(a)$, every family $\{x_i\} \in \prod X_{a_i}$ is matching, since X_0 is a singleton. For $\{a_i\} \in P(a)$, we write for the amalgamation of a matching family $\{x_i\}$ the symbols $\sum a_i x_i = \sum x_i$. For a finite family $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \in P(a)$ and a matching family $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, the amalgamation is denoted by $a_1 x_1 + \ldots + a_n x_n = x_1 + \ldots + x_n$. A natural transformation in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$ is denoted by $f = (f_a)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ where f_a is a component of f. For a sheaf X in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the restriction of X to a is denoted by $X|_a$ which is a sheaf on the site $(\mathcal{A}_a, P)$.

[1] The author thanks Ieke Moerdijk for pointing this out.

Conditional set theory

The following definition was first given in [3].

Definition 2.1. Let $(X_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a family of sets and $(\gamma_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a family of surjective functions $\gamma_a : X_1 \to X_a$. Then $X = (X_a, \gamma_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ is a conditional set on $\mathcal{A}$ if the following properties are satisfied

(i) X_0 is a singleton,

(ii) γ_1 is the identity,

(iii) $\gamma_a(x) = \gamma_a(y)$ whenever $\gamma_b(x) = \gamma_b(y)$, $x, y \in X_1$ and $a \leq b$,

(iv) for every pairwise disjoint family $\{a_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $\vee a_i = 1$ and $\{x_i\} \in \prod X_{a_i}$ there exists a unique $x \in X_1$ such that $\gamma_{a_i}(x) = x_i$ for all i.

Note that surjectivity and (i) imply that $X_a \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, for every $(a, b) \in \Delta$, surjectivity and property (iii) yield the existence of a unique function $\gamma_a^b : X_b \to X_a$ such that the diagram



commutes. Thus, we obtain a unique family of functions (γ_a^b) for every conditional set which establishes also the link to sheaves:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $(X_a, \gamma_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a conditional set. Then $((X_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}, (\gamma_a^b)_{(a,b)\in\Delta})$ is a sheaf on $(\mathcal{A}, P)$. Conversely, let $((X_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}, (\gamma_a^b)_{(a,b)\in\Delta})$ be a sheaf such that γ_a^b is surjective for all $(a, b) \in \Delta$, then $(X_a, \gamma_a^1)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ is a conditional set.*

The simple proof is given in [3]. For the sake of completeness we repeat it here.

Proof. Let $(X_a, \gamma_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a conditional set. Straightforward inspections that γ_a^a is the identity for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and that $\gamma_a^b \circ \gamma_b^c = \gamma_a^c$ for all $(a, b), (b, c) \in \Delta$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $\{a_i\} \in P(a)$ and $\{x_i\} \in \prod_{a_i}$. Choose $x_{a^c} \in X_{a^c}$ arbitrarily and let $x \in X_1$ be such that $\gamma_{a_i}(x) = x_{a_i}$ for all i and $\gamma_{a^c}(x) = x_{a^c}$ by (iv). Then $y = \gamma_b(x)$ is the amalgamation of $\{x_i\}$. Uniqueness is due to surjectivity and (iii). The converse assertion follows immediately from the definitions. □

For the conditional inclusion we need to consider conditional sets on relative algebras of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the class of all conditional sets on some relative algebra of $\mathcal{A}$ and identify in $\mathcal{C}$ two of them X and Y if and only if the only difference is $X_0 \neq Y_0$. We say that X lives on a if X is a conditional set on $\mathcal{A}_a$. There exists a unique conditional set on $0 = \mathcal{A}_0$ which is called the conditional empty set.

Definition 2.3. Let X and Y be in $\mathcal{C}$ where X lives on a and Y on b. Then X is conditionally included in Y, and we write $X \sqsubseteq Y$, if and only if $a \leq b$ and X is a subsheaf $Y|_a$.

Finally, we recall the definition of the conditional product and function.

Definition 2.4. Let $\{X(i) : i \in I\}$ be a nonempty family in $\mathcal{C}$ where $X(i)$ lives on a_i. Their conditional product is the pointwise product on the infimum of the $\{a_i\}$:

$$\left(\prod_{i\in I} X(i)_a, (\gamma(i)_a^{\wedge a_i})_{i\in I}\right)_{a\in\mathcal{A}_{\wedge a_i}}$$

Let X live on a and Y on b. A conditional function $f : X \to Y$ is a conditional subset G of the conditional product $X \times Y$ such that G_c is the graph of a function $f_c : X_c \to Y_c$ for each $c \in \mathcal{A}_d$ where $d \leq a \wedge b$.

Next we construct a category every object of which represents a conditional set in $\mathcal{C}$ and such that its Cartesian product imitates the conditional product and its natural order on sets of subobjects coincides with the conditional inclusion.

A category for conditional set theory

Straightforward inspection shows that surjective sheaves form a subcategory of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$ which is denoted by $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ be the product category of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$. Note that for each pair of objects (a, X) and (b, Y) in $\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{S}$, the set of arrows from (a, X) into (b, Y) is given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}((a, X), (b, Y)) = \begin{cases} \{((a, b), f) : f \in \mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)\} & \text{if } a \leq b, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define a congruence R on $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}$ in the following way. If $\mathrm{Hom}((a, X), (b, Y))$ is empty, then R is the empty relation, otherwise R is defined by the relation

$$((a, b), f) \sim ((a, b), g) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f_c = g_c \quad \forall c \in \mathcal{A}_a \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}/_R$ denote the quotient category. Inspection shows that $\mathcal{F}$ has all finite limits, colimits and a natural numbers object. For instance, the terminal object is $(1, \mathbf{1})$, the initial object $(0, \mathbf{0})$ and the natural numbers object $(1, \mathbf{N})$ where $\mathbf{1}$ is the terminal, $\mathbf{0}$ the initial and $\mathbf{N}$ the natural numbers object of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$, respectively. Observe that $(0, \mathbf{0})$ is isomorphic to every $(0, Z)$ in $\mathcal{F}$ since Z_0 is a singleton for every sheaf Z.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X and Y be surjective sheaves, $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h : X|_a \to Y|_a$ be a natural transformation. For every $(a, b) \in \Delta$, there exists a unique $((a, b), f) : (a, X) \to (b, Y)$ in $\mathcal{F}$ such that $f_d = h_d$ for all $d \in \mathcal{A}_a$.*

Proof. Let $X = ((X_d)_{d\in\mathcal{A}}, (\gamma^d_{d'})_{(d',d)\in\Delta})$, $Y = ((Y_d)_{d\in\mathcal{A}}, (\delta^d_{d'})_{(d,d')\in\Delta})$ and $g : X \to Y$ be a natural transformation chosen arbitrarily. We combine the two natural transformations h and g into one natural transformation $f : X \to Y$ such that f coincides with h on all components smaller than a, as follows:

$$f_d(x) := h_{a\wedge d}(\gamma^d_{a\wedge d}(x)) + g_{a^c\wedge d}(\gamma^d_{a^c\wedge d}(x)) \quad \forall x \in X_d, \forall d \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Uniqueness of amalgamations yields that f is a natural transformation. Up to the identification (2.1), the arrow $((a, b), f) : (a, X) \to (b, Y)$ is the unique one satisfying $f_d = h_d$ for all $d \in \mathcal{A}_a$. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let (b, Y) be an object in $\mathcal{F}$. Then to every equivalence class of monics into (b, Y) there is uniquely associated an object (a, X) such that $(a, b) \in \Delta$ and $X|_a$ is a subsheaf of $Y|_a$.*

Proof. Let (a, X) be such that $(a, b) \in \Delta$ and $X|_a$ is a subsheaf of $Y|_a$. Since subsheaves are associated uniquely to equivalence classes of monics [6, Chapter III, Section 8], we can represent $X|_a$ by a monic $n : X|_a \hookrightarrow Y|_a$ in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}_a, P)$. By the previous lemma we can extend n to a unique $((a, b), m) : (a, X) \to (b, Y)$. Inspection shows that $((a, b), m)$ is a monic in $\mathcal{F}$.

On the other hand, let $X = ((X_d)_{d\in\mathcal{A}}, (\gamma^d_{d'})_{(d',d)\in\Delta})$, $Y = ((Y_d)_{d\in\mathcal{A}}, (\delta^d_{d'})_{(d',d)\in\Delta})$ and $((a, b), m) : (a, X) \to (b, Y)$ be a monic in $\mathcal{F}$. First, we show that m_d is injective for all $d \in \mathcal{A}_a$. Assume without loss of generality that $a > 0$. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is $0 < d \in \mathcal{A}_a$ and $x, y \in X_d$ with $x \neq y$ such that $m_d(x) = m_d(y)$. We construct two global elements h and g of X which differentiate between x and y on the component d. Let $z : \mathbf{1} \to X$ be an arbitrary global element and define $h : \mathbf{1} \to X$ by $h_e = \gamma^d_{d\wedge e}(x) + \gamma^{d^c}_{d^c\wedge e}(z_{d^c})$ and $g : \mathbf{1} \to X$ by $g_e = \gamma^d_{d\wedge e}(y) + \gamma^{d^c}_{d^c\wedge e}(z_{d^c})$ for each $e \in \mathcal{A}$. Inspection shows that $((a, b), m) \circ ((a, a), h) \sim ((a, b), m) \circ ((a, a), g)$. Thus $g_d = h_d$, since $((a, b), m)$ is a monic which contradicts the assumptions.

Finally, let $((a, b), m) : (a, X) \rightarrowtail (b, Y)$ and $((c, b), n) : (c, Z) \rightarrowtail (b, Y)$ be two equivalent monics. Since the only isomorphisms in $\mathcal{A}$ are the identities, it follows $a = c$. Since there is a bijection from X_d to Z_d for all $d \in \mathcal{A}_a$, it follows that $Y|_a$ is isomorphic to $Z|_a$. Hence, equivalence classes of monics in $\mathcal{F}$ are uniquely associated to subsheaves over relative algebras. □

The natural partial order on the subobjects of some object in $\mathcal{F}$ is thus given by

$$(a, X) \leq (b, Y) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a \leq b \ \text{ and } \ X|_a \text{ subsheaf of } Y|_a.$$

The set of subobjects of some object (a, X) is denoted by $\mathbf{Sub}(a, X)$. The following theorem and proof is a variation of Theorem 2.18 in [3].

Theorem 2.7. *For every object (a, X) in $\mathcal{F}$, the collection of subobjects **Sub**(a, X) has the structure of a complete Boolean algebra.*

Proof. We show that $(\mathbf{Sub}(a, X), \leq)$ is a complete complemented distributive lattice with least element $(0, \mathbf{0})$ and greatest element (a, X). In the first step completeness and complementation are proven, and in the second one distributivity. Surjectivity on the function part of a sheaf X on $(\mathcal{A}, P)$ allows to work with the set X_1, where $1 \in \mathcal{A}$, to express properties of X.

Step 1: Let $\{(a_i, Y^i)\}$ be a non-empty family in $\mathbf{Sub}(a, X)$. Define $a_* = \vee a_i$ and for every $a \in \mathcal{A}_{a_*}$, let

$$Z_a := \left\{ \sum b_i y_i : y_i \in Y^i_{b_i} \text{ where } (b_i) \in P(a^*) \text{ such that } b_i \leq a_i \text{ for all i} \right\}$$

Inspection shows that Z is a subsheaf of $X|_{a_*}$. We extend Z to a subsheaf $\hat{Z}$ of X, as follows:

$$\hat{Z}_a = \{a \wedge a_* x + a \wedge a_*^c y : x \in Z_{a \wedge a_*}, y \in X_{a \wedge a_*^c}\}, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Inspection shows that $(a_*, \hat{Z})$ is the least upper bound of the family $\{(a_i, Y^i)\}$.

Let Z be the pointwise intersection of the family of sheaves $\{Y^i\}$ in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$. It is not hard to verify that (a^*, Z) is the greatest lower bound of $\{(a_i, Y^i)\}$ where

$$a^* := \vee\{a \in \mathcal{A}_{\wedge a_i} : Z_a \neq \emptyset\}$$

Henceforth, the least upper bound of (b, Y) and (c, Z) is denoted by $(b, Y) \sqcup (c, Z)$ and the greatest lower bound by $(b, Y) \sqcap (c, Z)$.

Straightforward inspection shows that $(0, \mathbf{0})$ is the least and (a, X) the greatest element of $\mathbf{Sub}(a, X)$. Let $(b, Y) \in \mathbf{Sub}(a, X)$. As usual define the complement by

$$(b, Y)^{\sqsubset} := \sqcup\{(c, Z) \in \mathbf{Sub}(a, X) : (c, Z) \sqcap (b, Y) = (0, \mathbf{0})\}$$

By definition, $(b, Y) \sqcap (b, Y)^{\sqsubset} = (0, \mathbf{0})$ and $(b, Y) \sqcup (b, Y)^{\sqsubset} = (a, X)$.

Step 2: Let $(a_1, Y^1), (a_2, Y^2), (a_3, Y^3) \in \mathbf{Sub}(\mathcal{A}, P)$. We show the distributive law

$$[(a_1, Y^1) \sqcap (a_2, Y^2)] \sqcup [(a_1, Y^1) \sqcap (a_3, Y^3)] = (a_1, Y^1) \sqcap [(a_2, Y^2) \sqcup (a_3, Y^3)]$$

The inclusion of the left-hand side into the right-hand side is implied in every lattice. For the converse inclusion, it suffices to convince oneself from the identity $c_1^* \vee c_2^* = c_3^*$ where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^* &:= \vee\{c \in \mathcal{A}_{a_1 \wedge a_2} : Y^1_c \cap Y^2_c \neq \emptyset\} \\ c_2^* &:= \vee\{c \in \mathcal{A}_{a_1 \wedge a_3} : Y^1_c \cap Y^3_c \neq \emptyset\} \\ c_3^* &:= \vee\{c \in \mathcal{A}_{a_1 \wedge (a_2 \vee a_3)} : Y^1_c \cap Z_c \neq \emptyset\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Z_c := \{b_1 x + b_2 y : b_1 \leq a_2, b_2 \leq a_3, x \in Y^2_{b_1}, y \in Y^3_{b_2}\}. \qquad \square$$

The candidate for the subobject classifier in $\mathcal{F}$ is $(1, \mathbf{\Omega})$ where $\mathbf{\Omega}$ is the subobject classifier of $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}, P)$. Let $((a,b),m) : (a,X) \rightarrowtail (b,Y)$ be a monic in $\mathcal{F}$. Then $X|_a$ is a subsheaf of $Y|_a$ by Proposition 2.6. Let $\phi : Y|_a \to \mathbf{\Omega}|_a$ be the characteristic map of $Y|_a$ in $\mathbf{Sh}(\mathcal{A}_a, P)$ and $((b,1),\eta) : (b,Y) \to (1,\mathbf{\Omega})$ its unique extension in $\mathcal{F}$. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (a,X) & \longrightarrow & (1,\mathbf{1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (b,Y) & \longrightarrow & (1,\mathbf{\Omega}) \end{array}$$

commutes. However, it is a pullback square if and only if $a = b$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}$ does not have a well-defined subobject classifier. Finally, we show that

Proposition 2.8. *The category $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by the subobjects of its terminal object.*

Proof. Let $X = ((X_a)_{a\in\mathcal{A}}, (\gamma^b_a)_{(a,b)\in\Delta})$ be a surjective sheaf and $((a,b),f) \neq ((a,b),g) : (a,X) \to (b,Y)$. Then there exists $c \leq a$ and $x_c \in X_c$ such that $f_c(x_c) \neq g_c(x_c)$. Let Z be a subsheaf of $\mathbf{1}$ given on objects by $Z_d = \{*\}$ if $d \leq c$ and $Z_d = \emptyset$ otherwise. Define a natural transformation $u : Z \to X$ by $u_d = \gamma^c_d(x_c)$ if $d \leq c$ and u_d being the empty function otherwise. It follows that $(f \circ u)_c = f(x_c) \neq g(x_c) = (g \circ u)_c$, and thus $((a,b),f) \circ ((c,a),u) \neq ((a,b),g) \circ ((c,a),u)$. $\square$

References

[1] P. Cheridito and Y. Hu. Optimal consumption and investment in incomplete markets with general constraints. *Stochastics and Dynamics*, 11(2):283–299, 2011.

[2] P. Cheridito and M. Stadje. BSΔEs and BSDEs with non-Lipschitz drivers: comparison, convergence and robustness. *Bernoulli*, 19(3):1047–1085, 2013.

[3] S. Drapeau, A. Jamneshan, M. Karliczek, and M. Kupper. The Algebra of Conditional Sets, and the Concepts of Conditional Topology and Compactness. *Preprint*, 2013.

[4] D. Filipović, M. Kupper, and N. Vogelpoth. Separation and Duality in Locally L^0-Convex Modules. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 256:3996–4029, 2009.

[5] D. Filipović, M. Kupper, and N. Vogelpoth. Approaches to conditional risk. *SIAM Journal of Financial Mathematics*, 3(1):402 – 432, 2012.

[6] S. M. Lane and I. Moerdijk. *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A First Introduction to Topos Theory*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, 1992.